PRESENT MEDIA ALREADY AN ABOMINATION

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277, The BiennialReview of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. In its goals to promote competition, diversity and localism in today's media market, I strongly believe that the FCC should retain all of the current media ownership rules now in question. These rules serve the public interest by limiting the market power of already huge companies in the broadcast industry.

i HAVE PERSONALLY WITNESSED OVER THE PAST YEAR AN INCREASING DISPARITY BETWEEN WHAT IS KNOWN AS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN NEWS ANCHORS AND NEWS COMMENTATORS. Now I see on a regular basis News Anchors using narrow minded personal and ideological agendas to put forth ideas without any room for dissent against those ideas. I use for instance, Fox News Anchors who repeatedly disparage Americans who differ with their points of view. Or in the Commnentator area people like MSNBC and Joe Scarborough whi disgustedly rejects any ideas other than a limited personal ideological viewpoint of his own. In essence I believe that Chairman Powell believes that we can trust even fewer elite CEOs to tell is what we need to know to govern ourselves. This is wrong and it is foolhardy. I can only conclude that Chairman Powell would be dreadfully in remiss to push such an agenda. What is at stake is democracy itself!

I do not believe that the studies commissioned by the FCC accurately demonstrate the negative affects media deregulation and consolidation have had on media diversity. While there may be indeed be more sources of media than ever before, the spectrum of views presented have become more limited.

The right to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events is part of the founding philosophy of our nation. Our forefathers believed that democracy was best served by a diverse marketplace of ideas. If the FCC allows our media outlets to merge, our ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints will be compromised.

The public interest will best be served by preserving media ownership rules in question in this proceeding.

In addition to the official hearing on this matter in Richmond, VA, I strongly urge the FCC to hold additional hearings elsewhere around the nation to solicit the widest possible participation from the public which will be the most directly affected by the outcomes of these decisions. I think it is important for the FCC to not only consider the points of view of those with a financial interest in this issue, but also those with a social or civic interest.

With the serious impact these rule changes will have on our democracy, it is incumbent on the Commission to take the time to review these issues more thoroughly and allow the American people to have a meaningful say in the process.

Thank you,

Robert C. Polhemus